- 75,444 S - 75,286

NOV 1

## Security Scrutiny Lesson Seen in Philby Case

The London Sanday Times

LONDON—Was Soviet "Master Spy" Harold (Kim) Philby really all that important? Do his activities, past, present and future, justify a long newspaper probe and ravaged soul-searching by the authorities? Or is the ish counterespionage) is a shocking the judgment of serious peo-

For a start, it is worth recording the opinion of those inside the intelligence world. There is no doubt in the minds of the Secret Intelligence Service. Up to 495 Philby had solid hopes of bocoming head of that ling fellow, "one of us." The a deadly game where that is at

Soviet.

The picture of Philby's survival given in the Sunday Times (in a series on Philby and Britsimply, got away.

The reasons are twofold, One was that he had proved himself, ly to the Americans as well as the British, a very high-class

By GEOFFREY McDERMOTT ion Communist affairs. Unlike a former head of M15 (British his fellow double-agent George security service), he has de-Blake, he was able, in fact, to fused the pernicious rivalry beinfluence policy, both British and tween these two services and, equally important, got relations with the CIA back on a good footing:

He has also improved SIS practice in security and recruit-James Bond syndrome warp-ling one. On four separate occa-liment. Socially the service is: sions he got away with the bene- now considerably more hetero-fit of the doubt; on the last he genous than the Foreign Office. They also treat security against enemy penetration very serious-

> Му own main reservation about the top SIS echelons is operator who was also a charm- that they are too gentlemanly in

organization. And as they later discovered, he was doing maximum damage in those same

Equally the KGB (the Russian, ing him in the West for a dozen years after he came under suspicion as a "third man" because of his continued usefulness to them.

His ase was not merely in the provision of disconnected detail. By luck and judgment, this master spy and arch traitor was master spy and t able to supply Moscow not only double game and given us with SIS's deployment in the Grand and information.

other was even more serious: the politicians' reluctance to deal with a very unsavory question on its merits.

Any assessment of possible reintelligence service) risked keep forms must begin with what has already been done. While Philby and his friends were doublecrossing us, we were pulling in good numbers of high-grade defectors from the other side.

field, but with information on the state of their intelligence head of SIS we have had. As

definite disadvantage.

Nevertheless, I think that the great value of the London Sunday Times report is the question it raises about SIS's political and public accountability. I believe that the present dispersal of power between the For-eign Office and the prime minister exposes SIS to a dangerous degree of autonomy.

To my mind there is a strong case for a new body, quite outside Whitehall and Westminster, to subject the service to a regular inspection and, if necessary, overhaul.

An inbred little commission exists at the moment, but no one

seems to pay any attention to its reports. True outsiders are already coming more and more into use in the government machine, and in the United States they are co-opted into the White House itself to advise on secret matters of global policy.

A group here consisting of, for instance, a suitably high-pow-ered bus i nessman, scientist, journalist, judge, and woman might well produce a valuable increase in public confidence.

The day we can relax will be the day the last KGB agent gets the train for Moscow. There is no sign of a slackening of KGB activity in Britain.